

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2006 LB 1019

teacher contribution to the fund, but I don't believe that we had to contribute state money because I think what happened is the market started to turn around and, while I think that maybe...and in no way am I suggesting the plans are not actuarially sound, but I think that they would get better with...as the market improves.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. I guess I would like to ask Senator Stuhr then a question, if I might, Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you yield?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Stuhr, do you have any recollection of when the last time we did something like this and took from our General Funds? Do you have any record of that? I know you've not been Retirement Chair for a long time, but...

SENATOR STUHR: Well, actually, we were going to be anticipating a shortage this year actuarially, and as Senator Bourne stated, the members of the state employees' teachers association came forth and said that they would increase their contribution to help cover that amount, which was about \$12 million. So this is why...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: ...I am not supporting this amendment at this time.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: But would...if I could follow up, would this be a very unusual circumstance if we did dip into General Fund monies?

SENATOR STUHR: Well, the problem is we're on two different systems.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I understand that.