

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2006 LB 975

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, we're just negotiating now. You'd tell me anything right now.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, you're right. You're right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Just like these people you had the meeting with out there wherever you mentioned are going to tell you how difficult it is for them to make it without being able to expand by 1,000 head. We know that whoever is advocating his or her position will overstate.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But what you and I are trying to work our way to now is something in the way of a relatively firm number, and we may not reach it on the floor, but we might be working toward it.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You would not agree to any reduction in the automatic...in the number of animals automatically allowed? Or would you?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I would like to stay at the 500 head, so the mid-sized producer in the 1,000- to 2,000-head range who is going to have to spend a lot of money to get into compliance can expand a little bit to make it more...to spread the cost out. It's the economies of scale.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if you couldn't get 500, if you could get a lesser number and we could strike a bargain now, what number would you give me? It can't be 499.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay. Senator Chambers, I'd like to keep it at 500, and I'll give on the...if they're over 5,000 head, just say they can't expand without full approval of the county board.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then let me do this. I'll say, let any of them, however big they are, expand, but the number of critters