TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2006 LB 975

of my time? Yes, you may have it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Thank you, Mr. President. And I would like to continue this discussion with Senator Schrock. Senator Schrock, let us get rid of the very large operations for a moment, and make it clear to the body that we have not yet adopted an amendment that removes the 10 percent. Is that correct?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if we were talking about 10 percent for a small operation, that would not amount to a hill of beans, in a manner of speaking, would it?

SENATOR SCHROCK: You're correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The only ones really benefited would be the large ones, and you're willing to remove that 10 percent and thereby get rid of a concern that some people had expressed.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if we talk about a small operator, whatever that might mean, allowing an increase of 500 critters could be more than the number of critters that person has currently, couldn't it?

SENATOR SCHROCK: From a practical standpoint, we're talking about facilities that are 1,000 head and larger.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I didn't get a final answer from Senator Wehrbein as to how many head he had at the maximum, but let met me ask you this. Is it possible that you could have fewer than 1,000 head and be affected by the requirement that you make these modifications?

SENATOR SCHROCK: If you have the potential to discharge in our waterways, yes, you can be affected.