

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 23, 2006 LB 975

would be found in AM2387, which is the one we were dealing with. It would begin by striking...let me explain what it allows. It allows the mandatory granting by this county planning commission or county board of this temporary use permit, in order to comply with federal or state regulations pertaining to livestock waste management. That remains untouched. Then, when you get past that point, you strike everything following that which relates to the automatic increase in the number of critters of various varieties. This is the language of the amendment that Senator Beutler had offered yesterday. Before I found out that he saw the same problem that I did, I was crafting an amendment, but there was no need to rewrite it, since his accomplished that purpose. I don't think there's any doubt as to what it is that my amendment is aiming to do. It is aiming to take away the mandate from the county board or the county planning commission that they automatically allow an increase in the number of critters once one of these operations has to make certain modifications to comply with federal requirements. That use permit would still be mandated, but the automatic allowance of an increase in critters would be stricken under my amendment. And those who are opposed to my amendment are very clear on what it is that the amendment does. They have addressed the amendment. They have explained what their opposition is. They have explained why they don't want my amendment by talking about what they consider the necessity of allowing these people who are out of compliance to automatically increase the number of critters. I see that Senator Schrock has drawn all the ladies, but if he can pull himself away for a second, I want to ask him a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, the only reason this bill is before us...forget what Senator Raikes had offered by way of an example. There are certain modifications that would be required under federal regulations, and if those modifications had not been required, nobody would be saying that the county boards should automatically allow an increase in the number of critters. Isn't that true?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That's correct, Senator Chambers.