

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 21, 2006 LB 872

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you are telling me, if I understood you correctly, that that's not what would happen?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I am telling you that, Senator Chambers. And if I may, and I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I want you to.

SENATOR SCHROCK: We've had an acid rain program since 1990, and they've used the cap and trade in the acid rain, and they have greatly reduced the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ...acid rain emissions. It's a tool...it's a flexibility tool for the people that are burning coal, and I believe there are going to be great incentives, because the high polluter is going to be paying for that cap and trade, and there's incentive for them not to have to pay, so they'll want to bring their number down. And so, all I can tell you, it's been very effective in the acid rain program, and this is...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But Senator...Senator Schrock, the fact is that there's going to be an increase in the pollution before those numbers that you're talking about coming down actually come down; isn't that true?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, I don't think that is true, Senator Chambers, but I'm not tracking with you real close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, if there's not going to be an increase in pollution, why do we allow the high polluter to purchase the right to pollute to a greater extent?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, the idea of the whole program is to bring the emissions down.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So why don't we just do it?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator. Thank you, Senator Chambers.