

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 21, 2006 LB 872

SENATOR SCHROCK: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you, Senator. And I would just indicate that emissions trading programs are seen in different ways by different people. I think there's been fairly widespread acknowledgment that with regard to certain pollutants, they've been highly effective. There are certain environmentalists in certain organizations that do not think emission trading programs are effective for certain pollutants, such as mercury, for example. And this amendment would allow them, Senator Schrock, to come in and make their case before the Environmental Council and the department, as to whether there should be an emissions trading program for any particular pollutant, and I appreciate your affording them that opportunity and for providing flexibility to the department and the council, with respect to what we do here in Nebraska. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Further discussion, Schrock amendment. Senator Preister.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President, friends all. Senator Schrock, if I could ask you a question, and I apologize for the late notice. As I was looking at the fiscal note, there's need for NDEQ to implement the program, and so they're going to hire some additional staff. The fiscal note says \$97,000 a year to do that, and then that cost, as I understand it, is going to be transferred across the board to...and then, this is where I want some clarification. I'm not sure if that's going to be spread out to everybody that is currently having a permit, or if it's only going to be to those utilities that participate in the cap and trade. And you may not even know the answer to this yet, but I guess it would seem fairer, as we do this, if the cost were borne by those who benefit, rather than across the board to everybody. I'm not sure how much that would be for everybody, or exactly what those costs would be, but it's an issue that I've just really noticed and paid attention to, and had wondered about your response. And if you don't have it now, if perhaps you could at least clarify how those costs are going to be shared?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.