

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 21, 2006 LB 853

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I think there's no disagreement about the amendment, and it simply limits the exemption to those specific categories that are identified in Senator Baker's amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. You've heard the closing on the Beutler amendment to the Baker amendment to LB 853. All in favor of the motion vote aye; opposed vote nay. Question before the body is the Beutler amendment, which is an amendment to the Baker amendment. Have you all voted who care to? Record please, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 36 ayes, 0 nays on the adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The Beutler amendment has been adopted.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I have a second Beutler amendment to the Baker amendment. (FA480, Legislative Journal page 716.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, to open on your second amendment to the Baker amendment, FA480.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, the last portion of this letter from the local Department of Transportation that is of concern to me is the statement that there...that there can be no requirement for the military driver to have a valid military driver's license. And it goes on to say, as Senator Chambers has quoted, while it's improbable that that could happen, the state cannot impose this requirement and remain in compliance. Well, that, to me, is an overly broad rule. They're asking us to make a rule that's both unnecessary and unwise. If some local commander discovers that he has prepared...has not prepared well for some training exercise in the state of Nebraska and authorizes some incompetent driver to drive a large commercial vehicle of some type down one of our highways or down one of our interstates, and that person, because they're not adequately trained, loses control and hurts somebody, they're going to be back here asking us, why didn't they require that they have some sort of license? I mean, if