TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

*

February 21, 2006 LB 853

Thank you.

military and not having any identification to substantiate that. If they...fine, if they didn't, then they're taken out of service. They're gone. That truck is tagged, red-tagged, parked, and they're taken out of service, and there's serious penalties for putting a truck back into service that's been taken out without proper corrections done to whether it's the driver's credentials or the truck, or so on. So you can paint whatever scenario you'd like here, I guess, and they get a little far-fetched with what Senator Chambers is saying, but if there is somebody not in uniform driving a truck that's not marked as a military vehicle and has no credentials and have not notified the carrier enforcement division or the State Patrol or local law enforcement, whatever it is, they get stopped, they're going to take that driver and that truck out of service. So you can surmise that this isn't ... this isn't a scenario that's going to develop if somebody is actually operating under these conditions with a communications truck for the President, or something or other like that, that is unmarked and not in a uniform. They're going to have the proper authorities notified. And if somebody is pulled over with no credentials, then I would be suspicious and I'd just guarantee that that person is going to be taken out of service, the vehicle parked, and an investigation began, and they're going to see what's in the trailer, if it's a van-type trailer, what they're hauling. They're going to be inspected and so on. So I think the current statutes for enforcement of a noncredentialed or a truck that's out of safety compliance is going to be taken out of service. It's just that simple. Our laws are there. They work well. I've seen them administered now and I don't see that this would have any change in that; in fact, it wouldn't. So not concerned about Senator Chambers' comments here of somebody simply driving down the road, no credentials and so on and be allowed to drive. So I do support Senator Beutler's amendment and we can adopt

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Further discussion on the Beutler amendment? Senator Beutler, there are no lights on. You're recognized to close.

FA479, no problems, but that will get us back to the discussion then on AM2348 if...after we adopt Senator Beutler's amendment.