

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 21, 2006 LB 853

SENATOR BAKER: If they were asked for it, they'd have to provide that. If they're representing themselves to be an employee of the...or a member of the military, they'd have to have...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that in your amendment?

SENATOR BAKER: No, but they'd have to have that if they...if they make that...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why?

SENATOR BAKER: ...assertion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If they're secret, like this letter suggests, they're driving some kind of big 18-wheeler, because the White House Mobile Communication Center that travels with the President doesn't want to draw attention, so apparently the truck is not marked, the driver is in plain clothes. So where do you see in this letter or in the law, that would be...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the law if your amendment is adopted, that this person has to have anything to identify himself or herself as a member of the military?

SENATOR BAKER: In that particular scenario they might not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if the person does not produce something that would identify himself or herself as a member of the military, what is the law enforcement officer to do who stopped this truck that was probably speeding and careening down the highway?

SENATOR BAKER: If they couldn't provide the appropriate credentials, they'd have to be taken out of service.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if that happened, that would put Nebraska out of compliance based on this letter. Isn't that true?