

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2006 LB 856

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you, Senator Friend. Well spoken, not completely understood, but you were getting at something. Members of the Legislature, I'm not going to tie up Senator Kremer's bill. It really is a good bill, it's important. What it's doing is very essential. But what I'm doing is important and essential, and transcends Senator Kremer's bill.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm dealing with an issue that people would often rather not deal with. I want to put people's feet to the fire who want to put inappropriate definitions into the statute to reflect their particular religious bent. We know, those of us who were here, I might be the only one, when Senator Bernice Labeledz was here and got the Legislature to put some crazy language into the statutes, attacking the U.S. Supreme Court when it handed down the Roe v. Wade decision, and how wrong it was, and wanted to put the term "unborn child" and other things in the statute, to take a slap at the U.S. Supreme Court. And that's why some of that idiotic, so-called intent language remains in the statutes today. I fought it then; I've tried to remove it, unsuccessfully. There are people who are so terrified...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...of...thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Chambers and Mines. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Madam President. There are some people so terrified of that so-called pro-life lobby that they allow themselves to be put in a position of irrational persons, those unable to think. When you review the way they do everything else, you can see that if they followed their intelligence, they would not be putting the kind of stuff that exists in the statutes now, when we're dealing with the issue of abortion. It would not be there. Well, here we're dealing with