

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2006 LB 856

include some new language defining a commercial herd...a commercial flock, and then the Revisor and the Bill Drafters just put all this back in alphabetical order. So it was taken out up above, and it was added down here. So it looks like new language, but it's not new language. It's already in the...in statutes that way. And I told Senator Chambers, I, to my mind, it's the same and I don't oppose it, but I really can't support it because I think it's a technical term that's very appropriate. It covers all of the poultry species and it talks about just the different areas it covers--breeding flocks, commercial poultry, hatched eggs. It gives a definition and then it just defines this. And it's not new language. It's already in statute. So with that, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Further discussion? Senator Chambers, there are no lights on. You're recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Senator Kremer, I was in a high-level discussion or, I should say, consultation with the Speaker, so I missed what you said. Are you saying that you're opposed to this amendment?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: I said I would not oppose it, but I will not support it. I said and you had even repeated that I said I would not oppose it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Would you support this substitution of the word "fowl" for "chick"?

SENATOR KREMER: No, I think both of them are really not appropriate because it's just a definition that's already in statute. We're not making new language. I think the definition is appropriate.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I want to change the definition I made that clear. Then, when we come to "Senator Foley-ish" bills, or "Senator Foley-ian" bills, are you willing to strike the