

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 16, 2006 LB 856

I'm glad that my colleagues are vigilant, to use a word that my seatmate was dealing with earlier, and are discussing this definition and pointing out that, as drafted, it is not inclusive enough. And I'm not going to take hours on this bill, but I want to make a point, so I'm going to ask Senator Louden a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Louden, would you respond?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Louden, if all these different birds that you identified are covered by the term fowl, f-o-w-l, would you agree?

SENATOR LOUDEN: F-o-w-l? Yeah, I think that's what...yeah, it says poultry, domesticated fowl.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if I were to strike "chick" and insert "poultry," we would be coming closer to accuracy. Would that be correct?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Well, I have a problem with the word "chicks," because some of these, as they come out, as they're hatched, hatchlings, I guess you'd call them, aren't all chicks. Some of them are described as, like I say, ducklings or ducks...or ducks are ducklings, and geese are goslings, and turkeys are poults, that I know for sure. And when you get into cassowaries, I don't know what you call fresh-hatched cassowaries, because right now I can't recall where they're from. I think they're from South America. Perhaps you know more about what cassowaries are.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, you're asking me the question.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: They're fowl, f-o-w-l.