

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 15, 2006 LB 188

unseat President Bush. Well, he failed, we do know that. And I don't care what your opinion is there. Here is this single individual who gamed the system to attempt to unseat President Bush.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: You know what? His money couldn't even do it. The manipulation of the system couldn't even do it. We need to have disclosure, full, fair disclosure, a quick disclosure. With technology today, it's huge what can be done with full and fair disclosure, and that's without tax dollars being spent on private campaigns, on individual campaigns. Why should someone who is...technically, if they got \$5 and \$10 contributions from their constituents, or wherever they chose to get it legally, why should they be capped, when someone else can gain the system with PACs or personal wealth, because we know that spending personal wealth has been accepted and litigated, and personal wealth cannot be...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: ...eliminated from campaigns. Did you say time?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I did.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: And that was your third time, Senator. Senator Stuthman, followed by Senator Schimek.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the body. I've been listening very closely to the discussion, the debate this morning, and I want to give you a little bit of my take on this. This is my fourth year in the Legislature. When I ran four years ago, realistically, I was not very much aware of the Campaign Finance Law, what it all involved, or anything like that. That isn't an issue to me, when I was running for the state Legislature. And I was up against an incumbent, and I will say that in the discussion, they said that incumbent does have an advantage, and I will say that is very true. An