

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 15, 2006 LB 188

If you have something to hide, don't count on it. And the public can consume that information however they wish. I think the best way to reduce the cost of campaigns is to prevent the need for an attorney to navigate through 26 pages of an amendment to determine how they recommend operating a campaign. We see the adjusting of the limits, so to speak, for the Board of Regents, from \$50,000 to \$100,000, and the Legislature from \$73,000 to \$89,000. A Regents district is certainly much larger than a legislative district, and certainly proportionately much larger than the limitation dollars suggest. Senator Brashear touched on the fact that over \$700,000, \$701,276, to be exact, as of January 31, sits in an account. Those are public funds that could go to schools, should go to schools, I would argue, in compliance with the state constitution. Those dollars should go to the schools, with the exception of \$50,000 originally appropriated. But the fact is, those dollars should go to schools. They could be better spent. But they're sitting in a fund that, if someone wanted to gain the system running for Governor, they'd probably bankrupt the system, bankrupt the fund. When you look at...I mean, regulation after regulation, I have to say that the more regulation we have relating to campaign finance, the more problems we seem to drum up. And it all goes back to the fact, how appropriate is it for public funds to be spent on campaigns, public funds to be spent on campaigns? I haven't quantified this, but I know that I was outspent in my initial campaign for the Legislature, and I know that a number of you here in this room were also outspent. Every election is going to be different. I don't hear the virtue of high voter turnout as a result of campaign spending. Now, sometimes I get a little nervous that other parts of our system are tweaked or manipulated in the effort of high voter turnout. That doesn't make it any better, it doesn't make it any more correct. I have concerns about campaign dollars being spent on...or, dollars being spent on campaigns. Last I checked, nationwide, more money was spent on chewing gum in America than on campaigns. And which has a greater impact on society? I was reading through a newsletter...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: ...that I get from Hillsdale College. It has an