

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 14, 2006 LB 776

substance here, then the notice still has to be required and a public hearing has to be required.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, the courts of the state are open. There are many minor, even trifling matters that will come before the court. If you have, say, a traffic infraction that is going to be heard by the court, maybe nobody will show up other than the person charged with the infraction, the city prosecutor, or county attorney if they don't have a city prosecutor,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the judge, maybe a bailiff. Should we say that whenever we have a public...a requirement for a public meeting or a public hearing, if not many people show up, we ought to just dispense with it and say that if somebody asks for it, then it will be...there will be a hearing? Should that become a policy?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes. Senator Chambers, I think in this case we can make a distinction between a criminal process and a minor modification. And...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I was using the court as an example, to show that many times nobody shows up,...

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...but the courts still are open, those proceedings are still public. So let's deal with public bodies. If people don't show up, or very few, we should not keep the hearing open for those very few? Maybe they're the only ones who really have enough interest...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to offer input. Thank you, Mr. President.