

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2006 LB 478

Nebraska if this is not done?

SENATOR LANDIS: You asked me how many I thought. I'm telling you two thousand, two-hundred and eighteen. (Laugh)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So now I'm asking you to think a bit further.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I did say, how many do you think, of that number, would be leaving Nebraska if we don't do this?

SENATOR LANDIS: The people who are retired may stay; they may go. If there...for the folks who are here with the defense contractor, they'll stay. The problem is, will the defense contractor expand in Nebraska, or build someplace else to create new jobs? And they'll do that if they think the posture is sufficient to entice a worker. Right now, Nebraska is not very encouraging or enticing. One of the reasons we do have people, however, is because people retire from Offutt, their kids are in school, they like the city, they want to stay, and that work force stays there. But it's not done because there's...it is a tax disadvantage to be in Nebraska, compared to many other states.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Landis. Members of the Legislature, that's not persuasive to me at all, in terms of my supporting this bill. I do not support bills that I consider to be boondoggles. There are people who I think really need some tax relief, and they're not these people with these high-paid, plush, elitist-type jobs. We're always told, if you don't give them what they want, they're going to leave. That has not been demonstrated anywhere. But I'll tell you what...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...has been demonstrated. People who have been discriminated against because of their sexual orientation have left this state, others have refused to come here, and there are companies who talk about not being able to retain or draw people with certain talents because of the discriminatory