

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2006 LB 478

has identified for tax cuts. My argument was that the profiled tax cuts that were being brought to us had very minimal effect in our posture vis-a-vis other states, that the business community was signing onto proposals that were going to be invisible, underappreciated, and not change where Nebraska stands, vis-a-vis other states. So I was not saying ask for more; I would say I was asking for different--make a competitive advantage.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR LANDIS: That's what I was arguing for.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm glad that I asked you that. But now, if you'll stay on your feet,...

SENATOR LANDIS: Oh, sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...you did say that you support this attempt by Senator Cornett to carve out a certain select group to be given a tax break that nobody else similarly situated--by that I meant, they're retirees, but they're not doing this kind of work--would get?

SENATOR LANDIS: The answer to the question which I think you asked is yes, I do support it. The description of the bill would be something that would be true for every piece of tax policy, in that it gives it to somebody that it probably...and there's somebody else who doesn't get it. But in short answer to the question, I do support this. I think it's an attractive and effective, potentially effective piece.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How many people do you think are going to stay in Nebraska or come to Nebraska as a result of this bill, so that there will be a substantive impact on either the taxes coming in or making Nebraska more attractive than other states?

SENATOR LANDIS: Two thousand two-hundred and eighteen.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, that's how many people might be affected. How many of those people have said they'll leave