

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2006 LB 872

alternative, would it not?

SENATOR SCHROCK: It would be an alternative.

SENATOR BEUTLER: How would you feel about that alternative?

SENATOR SCHROCK: It's going to take a lot of work and a lot of exploring, but it would be worth looking at.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Do you support taking money for remediation out of the Environmental Trust Fund?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I don't support the process that has been talked about. I think it should...if Environmental Trust money is going to be used for that, it should be up to the board, and it should be a fair presentation. And I don't think it's been portrayed that way, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Let me ask you just one last question with respect to intent. On page 23, you have new language, developing and implementing emissions trading programs, and "implementing" is a word that I perhaps misunderstand. Sometimes I think it means getting a program started, and other times I think it means getting a program started and operating it. I'm assuming your intent here is, in saying implementing,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...is that this fee and authority is for developing and implementing, meaning "and operating" these programs. Would that not be accurate?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, it would be, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Senator Schrock, thank you very much.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, your light is on next. Did you wish to...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, I would yield my time to