

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2006 LB 872

you say these people are doing good for the whole state, with their manufacturing units and their power production units and everything?

SENATOR SCHROCK: You are correct, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, shouldn't this be General Funded?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Works for me, but that isn't the way the bill is drafted.

SENATOR BEUTLER: (Laugh) All right. Well, in case anybody didn't catch it, I was trying to get around to the water issue again and suggest that it wouldn't be all that unusual for part of the cost of water regulation being borne by water users. But I also wanted to raise another question with you, Senator Schrock. You're aware by now, of course, of the controversy with respect to whether environmental trust funds could or should be used for the purpose of remediation, both with respect to the University of Nebraska and with respect to the Department of Environmental Quality. Are you not aware of that?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I am aware of that, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And isn't it true that a great number of states, maybe most states by now--in fact, I think most states by now--have a state superfund in existence?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I...I'm not prepared to comment on that, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, I think that's true, and I guess my question to you would be, assuming we're going to do something to get us over the hump this year, considering the fact that DEQ is telling us that there are going to be remediations, sizeable remediation efforts for many years, on down the line, wouldn't it make sense for us to have a state superfund act to take care of remediation, and either charge these back as in the case of this bill, as we do for emission fees, or to fund it with General Funds, rather than taking it out of the Environmental Trust? I mean, that would be an