

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2006 LB 872

that provision (j) that...provision...it should...if it read...would it be any better if it read, provisions for implementation of such emissions trading programs as the department shall direct? I'm trying to distinguish between a direction in the early part on page 8 that says you shall establish provisions for implementation of emissions, plural, trading programs, plural, suggesting that you maybe have to have one for every emission there is, as opposed...you're intending that the department can select certain emissions and set up trading programs for whichever ones they select; is that what you're intending?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'm trying to follow what you're saying, Senator Beutler, but we have the federal act, and right now, we deal with acid rain, but we haven't touched on the other emissions. And so that's what this bill does. And of course, the one that sticks out is mercury.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, let me ask you this. Does our department have the prerogative of setting up a trading program for any or all emissions right now?

SENATOR SCHROCK: We don't have for any and all, but I think we do for acid rain. But we don't have for the other.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is that the sulfur dioxide?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, so under the law right now, they're authorized to set up one program for that particular emission, right?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Now by the language you're putting in here, are they required to set up a program for every type of emission?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, if the EPA allows it, any program the EPA would allow, they would be...they could set up a cap and trade