

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 10, 2006 LB 85A

could, but at what cost? In that, I don't have information as to whether that would implement any changes in their organization, and I would doubt it, frankly.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Pederson, I can understand that. And I would not ask that every appropriation that is recommended for HHS be not granted or be denied and they be instructed to absorb the cost of whatever that money was to go for. But remember, we're dealing with a speculative amount.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Yeah, it's not only speculative; it's relatively a very small amount.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. And I think HHS could handle this. It's not establishing a precedent, other than with respect to the types of proposals that come before us to which we will attach an A bill. I don't think that every time there's going to be an expenditure that an A bill ought to be attached, unless the expenditure is consequential. And if I offer this amendment and it is adopted, it would require, that first year, HHS to absorb roughly \$29,000, which I think they can, because they don't manage money, the amount that they deal with, so carefully and closely that \$29,000 is not frittered away. I'd venture to say that if you went through every program and item where they spend money, there'd be \$29,000 which they couldn't account for, or which somebody would say did not need to be spent. We're not taking it out of one program; we're taking it out of a multi-hundreds of millions of dollars budget. So would you support my amendment to cut this \$59,594 to \$30,000? Let me not require an answer right now. I will go to Senator Byars now, because it's his bill. Thank you for your help, Senator Pederson.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: But I would support it. Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You would?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.