

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 8, 2006 LB 875

SENATOR MINES: Thank you, Mr. President, colleagues. We are dangerously close, dangerously close, and I want to thank committee counsel for their assistance with this. As I mentioned before, the real issue here is notice, and I think we all agree that the citizens of Nebraska are positioned and should expect protections from the harm done through a National Warranty example. And our difficulty has been that the industry has a dilemma with a separate notification, separate sheet that is signed. Just administratively, it poses problems, particularly if you're purchasing insurance over the Internet or on the telephone. Senator Beutler has agreed that if the notice is conspicuously listed in the service contract, then that will suffice, and I want to thank him for that. We're also working on language that would ensure that the notice is...it would cover...it (inaudible) infer any deficiencies particularly with domestic and nondomestic companies, and that it doesn't unfairly penalize legitimate companies that have adequate reserves. So we are very close, and I appreciate your patience with this, and we should have something very soon. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Mines. There are no further...Senator Beutler, you have spoken three times. You have spoken three times, Senator Beutler. Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to yield my time to Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Friend, thank you very much. Let me just talk a little bit about some of the testimony before the committee on this motor vehicle service contract study resolution. By the way, I misinformed you earlier. The bill that I had wasn't two years ago; it was last year, and it's still being held by the Banking Committee. But that's not really an accurate indication of the process, in the sense that we've had two interim study hearings on this, and the committee has been highly involved in how this has evolved and come about. So it's not in any sense, to anybody that's been involved in the process or is associated with the committee, a new matter in any way. Some of the testimony that was interesting, and this is probably more than you want to know, but there was discussion