## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

February 7, 2006 LB 1007

federal court, I think, in the D.C. district, ruled these rules that were developed in 2003 inadequate, and they had to go back and do it. And some of it was dealing with safety issues and health concerns. It's on page...Part 395 is...hours of service, is on page 22, line 18, we reference Part 395, the hours of service. And that's most of what we're doing here. We are harmonizing the state statutes with what the new regulations are through Part 1...395, which is, as I've said, mostly dealing, in this bill, with hours of service.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So page 22, line 18, did you say?

SENATOR BAKER: Line...yes, sub (g), it references.

SENATOR BEUTLER: There's no change in the law there, right?

SENATOR BAKER: No, we're just simply referencing Part 395, which is where...in the...

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's always been referenced, right?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But you said there were some changes made.

SENATOR BAKER: Within that...on the federal level, through rules and regulations, there has been changes made to that Part 395. That...CFR 49 is dealing with hours of service.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So this bill doesn't change what's in federal law, but you're adding all these definitions sections so that federal law can pertain under the court ruling? It's...

SENATOR BAKER: Well, the definitions...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...this is not hanging together for me.

SENATOR BAKER: ...the definitions were added for clarity. They've never been in our state statutes. And it was an initiative taken upon, in this case, I believe, the State Patrol, for the most part, to put these acts...these definitions