

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 7, 2006 LB 874

come up that would be responsive to the concerns that Senator Beutler and that you have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Kremer. And I want to assure you that I will work with you, and I'll give you time to talk to the Department of Ag before we get together, so that you can have from them their explanation. And if any of them would like to talk to me directly, I'm willing to do that, too.

SENATOR KREMER: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all I will say on my opening, Mr. President. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA402. Open for discussion. Anybody wishing to address FA402? Senator Kremer

SENATOR KREMER: I'll just make a few comments here. We're spelling out here one response to a problem with human or environmental issues and ground water. The reason that "may" and everything is left in there, that's the way the bill was. And I know the devil is in the details, and when you try to get down to that and how it can be determined, how and it might be determined, it gets very difficult. It seems to me like it was interpreted okay before, because we're leaving everything in there. We're really trying to broaden it to include human and environmental effects. Other than that, everything is the same, the "may," the response of a progressively more rigorous management plan. It's just saying that we want the department to come up with a state management plan or a pesticide management plan if it includes also the human and environmental effects. So we're going back to weakening it now to do this, but just in order to get it going so that we can work on this a little more. I wouldn't mind taking out altogether the progressively more rigorous pesticide management practice, because that's one response that can be made. There could be a lot of responses. You could say, ban it altogether. You could say they just can't use this certain pesticide, or whatever it could be. So there's a lot of response, depending on where the location is and a lot of things. Maybe we should just say they