

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 7, 2006 LB 874

SENATOR KREMER: Well, I don't know. As I look at this, maybe we should take out the more rigorously pesticide management practice, or progressively more rigorous. That was the old language in the bill before we even started, and our intention was only to include humans and environment on that. Maybe if you say "shall," you should say shall develop a plan in response to something, rather than to spell out this one kind of a response. Because I think if we say "shall," that limits us to one response, and there maybe the response should be to ban it altogether. There are so many other elements involved in this that I really hate to see "may" be taken out. Maybe we ought to take out the one response to it. I don't know. I'd like to have more time to really talk to the department, how they feel about it and how they...how they apply the statutes that we put before them.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kremer, which department would you want to have further discussions with?

SENATOR KREMER: Well, Department of Agriculture is the one that has to administrate this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, you're having a discussion right now with the Legislature's demolition department. Which one do you think can do most damage to this bill?

SENATOR KREMER: Well, it depends. I don't know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The demolition department, right?

SENATOR KREMER: How much time you want to take?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Here's what I want to ask you, continuing with our discussion. If the more rigorous management practice includes a total ban, that would not be prohibited if we have the word "shall" here instead of "may."

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would it?