

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 7, 2006 LB 874

SENATOR KREMER: To some people it would; some it wouldn't.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I mean in the language of the statute that will relate to pesticides. Or would it be considered a rodent and only affected by the portion of the law that deals with rodenticides?

SENATOR KREMER: I don't think we're looking at specific animals or anything here. I would think...well, if they're in their proper place, then there's no problem, but then to some it would be...they would be a rodent that is undesirable. In some places they would be desirable.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So I think you may have answered, but my interest goes beyond that. Back to the amendment. If an adverse effect on human health is found, what level of adverse effect is going to be acceptable before the more rigorous management practice is triggered?

SENATOR KREMER: I can't tell you what level would be. I have a little problem with taking "unreasonable" out, because say a vaccine is something that would save millions of lives but it does have an adverse effect on some, so I think "reasonable" might be appropriate in there, and that would be...I think, it could be particular to each particular incidence of what it might be. It would be a different approach. I don't know if that answers anything or not, but you could have an adverse effect but it's a reasonable adverse effect because of the consequences if you do not do something.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: This doesn't ban. We're not talking here about a ban. The language that exists in this language...I meant the language that exists in this subdivision relates only to more rigorous management, not a ban. If it's a vaccine, the vaccine would not be banned. But to some people, if 2 children out of 1,000 suffer serious consequences which might even be life-threatening, that would be deemed to be an unreasonable level of harm. So the rigorous...the more rigorous management may entail studying, gathering more information, and monitoring to see just what the circumstances were when these vaccinations were given that did have a negative impact on the children.