

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 7, 2006 LB 874

SENATOR CUDABACK: Chambers amendment has been adopted. Madam Clerk, next amendment.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend with FA400. (Legislative Journal page 563.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Madam Clerk. Senator Chambers, to open on AM...or FA, rather, 400.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm offering this amendment, but I'm willing to listen to the discussion. On page 16, line 23, my amendment would call for the removal of the word "unreasonable." Senators Kremer and Beutler were discussing whether the impact would be to economic factors or health, and I don't know that there was a complete resolution, but I need to find out from Senator Kremer...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...what is intended here. And before I ask him the question, I want to make this comment. When we have the state management plan and it has been found that a set of circumstances exist where more rigorous pesticide management practices are warranted, under the present language, if adopted, that would come into being and play only if a determination was made that the adverse effects were unreasonable. So I would like to ask Senator Kremer a few questions in this regard.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kremer, would you yield to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I'll try.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kremer, I'm not opposed to the thrust of the bill. I want that to be clear from the beginning.

SENATOR KREMER: I understand.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Does "pest" include prairie dogs, by the way?