

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2006 LB 778

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But I just want him to listen so he'll know what I'm getting to. Again, reading the sentence, "If the applicant has not met the requirements for a component prior to January 1, 2008, the applicant shall be required to meet the applicable requirements for that component as the requirements are described." The language that I would strike would be the three words "the requirements are," because it seems to me that all we're talking about in this provision is the requirements. So the words, the three words "the requirements are" seem like they might not be necessary. But I don't think their staying there would hurt anything, because they could have been put there for clarity, to be certain that what we're talking about would be the requirements described in these subdivisions, rather than something else. So I will ask Senator Langemeier what his thinking is on that.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Langemeier.

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: I guess I would ask for one clarification. In your amendment, FA395, you took the word, "as" the requirements are. And I don't have a problem with "the requirements are," but I think the word "as" needs to stay in there for clarification, to make that sentence proper.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, I...yes, I miswrote it. I should not have included the word "as." So what I'm going to do is ask the Clerk if that correction can be made without me rewriting everything?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Clerk?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In this amendment, we would eliminate, from my amendment, the word "as," a-s. Can that be done, and then that be my amendment? Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is shown done.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then, Senator Langemeier,...because in my