

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2006    LB 778

this amendment deals with two words: "less," which I think is inappropriate where it's used, and "fewer." "Less" would deal with a quantity, like one ton is less in weight than one and a half tons. "Fewer" would deal with numbers or an enumeration. Three boys in the room would be fewer than six boys in the room. Here's what the amendment would do. In some places, the word "less" is in new language; in some places it's found in the original language of the law. Where it's found already, the words "and show as stricken" will be utilized. In some places, the construction is "not less," which would be then changed to "no fewer." In some places the mere word "less," which would then be changed to "fewer." So I'm going to point out, by reading this, what the amendment would do. Page 34, line 23; page 43, line 22; page 46, line 17; page 51, line...I would look at my gadget...line 5; page 53, line 25; page 58, line 13; page 61, line 3 strike the underlined words "not less" and insert "no fewer." Then, on...by the way, when you see all these pages, Senator Langemeier will know that he actually made me jump through hoops all the way through this bill, and I hope he feels proud of himself, standing over there just smiling like a Cheshire cat. But anyway, on page 39, line 6; page 41, line 12; page 48, line 8; page 55, line 16 strike, and these words are not underlined, "not less," and show as stricken, and insert "no fewer," which would be underlined. Then, page 44, line 14; page 51, line 24; page 59, line 7 strike underlined "less," and insert, underlined, "fewer." Page 66, line 16 (sic--18) strike the word "less," which is not underlined, and show as stricken, and insert "fewer," underlined. This amendment, consisting of these various parts, simply insert "fewer" in place of "less." There are places in Senator Langemeier's bill where "fewer" has been used. I've got to give credit where credit is due, show him how I read his bill with a microscopic eye. On page 44, in line 23, we have the words "during a period of no fewer than twelve months." So "fewer" is used, although on line 14 it says "Have no less than two thousand hours." So "no fewer," the word "fewer" has been used. Then if we go to page 52, line 8, we have the construction "no fewer than twenty-four months." So again, they hit the nail on the head. On page 59, in line 16, we find the words "no fewer than thirty months," not, no..."not less than." So "less" has been eliminated in those instances. "Fewer" is