

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2006 LB 778

activity was engaged in, did those who pay attention to this area of the law realize that that was an undesirable activity and, therefore, it should no longer be done for a fee?

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: I don't believe so. I think that it's an unintended consequence. As FIRREA developed after the crisis that we had in the lending world in the eighties, this has all just kind of stepped up, and trying to make this profession a more profession, independent body from lending and value...the value process.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what is wrong with the advocate consulting service? If it's not a negative or bad thing that hurts the profession, why is it being eliminated? Let me ask another question first. May this service be given if not for a fee?

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: No. An appraisal is considered done whether you're...whether you've paid for it or not. If I...if an individual does an appraisal, they can do it and accept compensation or not accept compensation. It's still a value given by a licensed individual.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I'm not looking at the entire appraisal. I'm just looking at the advocate consulting service.

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If that same activity were engaged in...let's say this bill passes, which I'm sure it will,...

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and that no longer is mentioned in the law as something that can be done for a fee, because the statute now says "for a fee." If that identical thing were done, after this became law, would it be considered an unethical practice which would warrant discipline on an appraiser?

SENATOR LANGEMEIER: No, it would be unaddressed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Say it again?