

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 2, 2006 LB 248

SENATOR MINES: All right. The second question I would have has to do with recreational vehicles and semis and so forth. They're not on a similar standard as passenger cars, trucks, utility vehicles, motorcycles, et cetera. Can...why is that? Why wouldn't it be just as simple just to put them all on a similar schedule?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, if you look at pages 4 and 5 on the amendment, they're licensed by the tonnage,...

SENATOR MINES: Yes.

SENATOR BAKER: ...which would mean the load they're able to haul, and it is on a schedule, it's just a separate schedule, I guess. If you want to change that, why, it would...it's something that we could do, but that's not part of the bill.

SENATOR MINES: But it could be on a similar schedule, as passenger vehicles, right?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, it could be. But it is, actually. It's a little more wordy, I guess, than the schedule you're talking about, vehicles, passenger vehicles. But it is in the amendment.

SENATOR MINES: The real...as an example, when you talk about recreational vehicles, I'm out of my league, but I do know that some recreational vehicles can cost \$500,000, \$600,000. And if we use that same schedule, frankly, they're getting off pretty cheap is my guess. For instance, a self-propelled vehicle, recreational vehicle over 12,000 pounds is \$860. And if you reference that back to the vehicles, that's a vehicle worth \$48,000 to \$50,000. So we've got a vehicle that's perhaps \$500,000 to \$600,000 paying the same tax as a vehicle a tenth of that cost. Is that reasonable?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, that's up to your judgment, I guess, and that's not addressed in this amendment, but if that's something you want to work on between now and Select File, it's something we can talk to you about.