

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 2, 2006 LB 75

different investments over the last 20 years, of what it would have done if it would have been invested in stocks or bonds that...and we're not talking about high-risk stocks or bonds. It was bonds and stock that have had a very good track record. And if it was diversified, over the years, the bad years still did not lose any money, because some stocks did lose money and some made more money. But the good years, it was considerably more, sometimes three times as much as what it returned on the investment. I think we're not being good stewards if we don't do something like that, and to say that you could go down to the racetrack or go to the casino or something like that, something that maybe sounds good, but it just doesn't happen. I would think, and this is a board that...it's not a political subdivision, but political subdivisions or any board would have an investment committee that would be looked at by a group of people, and we're not talking of high risk. We're talking of some that have performed well. And I just think that...well, let me say one other thing is, looked at it and thinking that, well, you would not have a steady income from the investments from that endowment. So we looked at it. If we would have taken out like 5 percent each year, so it was exactly the same, leave the rest back in for reinvestment, we not only took 5 percent or 8 percent out, but the endowment grew during that time, so that you could always depend on what kind of a steady income from the investments would be. And I think it was a very good exercise, and I think we were surprised at the outcome. And I think, in order to be good stewards of these endowments for a political subdivision, or whatever the other group it was, that we need to do something like that. And I don't think it's risky; I think that over the years, that we could just make a better return on our time, so...or our money. Senator Beutler, do you need any more time or anything? If not, okay. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Did you wish to use his...Senator Beutler? Thank you. Senator Byars, followed by Senator Foley.

SENATOR BYARS: Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in support of the suspension of the germaneness rule. I think this is a classic example of how we, as the body of public policymakers, can use our own rules to do the right thing. If it isn't the