TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

February 2, 2006 LB 75

We didn't want to mix the public sector and the companies. private sector at the time. There were plenty of abuses in those days. So when I saw this originally I was concerned, because it says, essentially, that a quasi-public body can, in fact, buy stock. However, the source of concern that I have has been assuaged by this amendment language that Senator Beutler, Bruce Cutshall, Mary Campbell, and myself have worked on in the past couple of weeks, because it takes away what I think is, in fact, a possibility for abuse, and that is a city using its resources to entice a company to come, by promising some community support from a body of money like this. And that's not so far-fetched. There are cities that have pledged part of pension funds to attract companies for economic development. We certainly have very aggressive private-sector folks who demand a lot from the public sector for a locational decision in economic development, and I didn't want to have the problem of a company saying, if you invest \$20 million with us, we'll bring 100 jobs to your community, but we want the city to buy some stock. The language that Senator Beutler offers or will be offering, and I'll be offering as an amendment, will say, essentially, look, this is only in the context of a public...not your city's tax funds, if you will, not their general fund money, not their public funds on deposit, but only this community kind of foundation resources; secondly, that it has to be done as a prudent investor, which means you wouldn't take a nonsensible risk, and you wouldn't do it without due diligence; and lastly, that it can only be for the sole purpose of benefiting the fund. It cannot have, if you will, the twin tandem motive of promoting economic development, picking winners and losers in the private sector, showing favoritism, trying to get jobs to come. There's one and only one rationale for the investment of the money, and that's for the benefit of the fund. By making the fund grow, by making it strong, by protecting it from risk, and yet at the same time getting good the public and social good that the fund will ultimately be able to do--and Senator Foley has given us a wonderful recitation of those kinds of social goods--will grow. That's worth doing. And because of those...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.