

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 1, 2006 LB 975

another, the whole state, or by portions of the state, they should be helping with this situation and we should not be taking General Fund money to address the situation. I'll...I would...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...like to speak one more time on this.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator. Senator Chambers, on advancement of LB 975. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Schrock, I'm going to ask you a couple of questions about some existing language which I don't understand. I'm going to start on page 7, in line 26, and we're talking about animal capacity in these feeding operations. So, in line 26, first of all, what is a liquid manure handling system?

PRESIDENT SHEEHY PRESIDING

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that a system that collects...well, I'll let you explain it, because I really don't know.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, typically speaking, a livestock facility will dig a pit that is downstream from their facility, so when it rains everything runs in there, and it's a liquid waste, contains manure, and it's collected there so it doesn't get in our streams. And so that's what I would call a...let's see, what was the question? That's what I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's what...that's what it seemed to me, but here's where I was thrown a curve. If there is a liquid manure handling system, 1,500 ducks is the limit. But without it, 10,000 ducks are allowed. So why can you have far more without the system than you can have with it?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, on the surface, you would appear to be correct, but I think there's another...I think