

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 1, 2006 LB 975

available scientific information. So, in my mind, we're dealing with only scientific information. In my opinion, we could...we could strike from line 15 "or other available information," and I would say that it could read, "based on scientific information provided in the application that the proposed expansion does not pose a potential threat to the stream." Would you...would you think that would be better, or do you think we should have like your amendment is with "scientific information" twice in there? Because according to that, we can only have scientific information, you know, available, and anything has to be available because it's information provided in the application. So I'm looking at there may be a possibility of duplications, or am I looking at this wrong, Senator Chambers?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuthman, Senator Schrock and I went over this very thing, and my first inclination was to strike "or other information." But, as Senator Schrock pointed out, if that were done, the only information that could be considered would be that contained in the application. The person offering the application may not be aware, when the application is offered, of existing scientific information that would have a direct bearing. So if we limited it only to the scientific information in the application, the other scientific information would not be usable. So the purpose of this amendment is to say, we will have the applicant provide whatever scientific information he or she can to show that there will be no harm done to the stream if the expansion authority is granted. But there may be other scientific information not contained in the application which would have a direct bearing, so what it really is trying to do is make accessible, pursuant to the terms of the statute itself, whatever scientific information may be acquired during the process and use that in reaching a final decision. And could I make one other comment?

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In a way I'm like Shakespeare, but in many ways I'm not. He had some of the best writing of all time, as people agree, whether one person named Shakespeare did it or not, but he also had a lot of the worst writing, because each word that he wrote was like a child and, not being in favor of