

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 1, 2006 LB 975

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then why don't we just strike "or other available information," and base it on scientific information provided in the application or otherwise, instead of saying, "or other available information"?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, there may be other scientific information that's not available in the request to expand, and so I don't think we want to do that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then why don't we say "other available scientific information"?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That would be fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR SCHROCK: And like I... Senator Chambers, like I said, the university now has about 999 head. They can't go over 1,000. And to do the research they want to do, they probably need to expand to about 1,200. And so we would like to accommodate that, and we don't think that will be a threat to the stream, and so that's what we're doing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And because there are people who understand this area, I'm not challenging that. But I don't want to loosen this and do away with the requirement that these expansions be based on scientific information. And I really had my attention called to it because when we go to page 7 and we're talking about an existing animal feeding operation and so forth, which is not under the auspices of the university, where they're going to expand within that one mile to...

SENATOR SCHROCK: Two mile range.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...two mile, yes, it has the same language structure, based on...

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...scientific information provided in the application or other available information. So in both those