

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2006 LB 14

something that should have been revised, and then I think we can make that...the appropriate revision. But the point is, this is not new language, and I didn't know that I wanted to necessarily dabble into changing something that might be necessary for folks to make a determination as to what the bill does.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Friend, on the other side of the world is a place called Abu Dhabi. So I could dub you the one who does not want to "Abu Dabble" with existing language? Do you feel that language...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...which already...excuse me?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I said time, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You're welcome. Mr. Clerk, motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend the bill. (FA376, Legislative Journal page 509.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on your amendment to LB 14.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I do dabble with existing language, and I'm going to take time on bills when there's a reason to do so. Senator Landis had a very good bill which I did not comment on or about, because there was no need for me to say anything. As a matter of fact, it's one of the better bills that Senator Landis has brought. I will not say it's the best bill, because he's brought some excellent bills which did not even clear committee, which demonstrates the fact that the quality of a bill does not always determine whether or not it will get out here. But back to this particular bill before us, my amendment would strike subsection (6) on page 5, because I do not believe it is needed any longer. The reason I don't believe it's needed any longer is because the language contained in the subsection itself tells