

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2006 LB 1003

And so as far as...in your statement of intent here, it says, "the tribe and the state in agreed upon proportions," and you know, so as far as that 75-25, I think that might be skewed a little bit in their favor. But that's...so be it, that's the way it is, because we had that discussion a few years ago when this...when the state made this compact with them. So we were part of...Senator Cunningham and I were part of that. And...but the thing is, that's what I wanted to verify, so that everybody knows what they retain and what we get to keep for maintenance of our roads, because most of the driving is, of course, on our highways. So, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would you...was that a question, Senator Engel? No? Thank you, Senator Engel. Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature. There's one portion in the amendment that deals with the oath of affirmation on filing license for the Liquor Control Commission. This is outdated. This is a good amendment that Senator Landis proposed to you. We have a bill similar to that in General Affairs. It has one other subject in it, and that's the old keg registration act. But we will take that out of that bill and...or, the oath of affirmation out of our bill, because it's going to be covered now with Senator Landis' bill. And I appreciate the fact that he took care of that in the bill. That's all I have. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Further discussion? Senator Beutler, followed by Senator Louden.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature. Senator Landis, just a couple of questions. First of all, with regard to all of the combined items that are in the Revenue Committee amendment, they all seem to, on their face, make sense. Are we...you may have said, but can you say again, in their totality, are we dealing with a bill that has a significant fiscal impact one way or another, or really that's something we don't need to worry about?

SENATOR LANDIS: There is no...yeah.