

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2006 LB 72

give a cop a lot of overtime and other perks--they don't call them that--money which can be figured into calculating his or her retirement, you see that they don't need to be put in a position to compete with these entrepreneurs. I do not have a lot of regard for security guard people. They're called rent-a-cops. This bill is not going to correct those problems. However, I do not think there should be the meticulous type of setting out in statute process which we have in this bill. Some things are mentioned as standards, but they're listed as requirements. So there is the using of language to describe what various parts of the bill are, and consistency is not there. So if you have any questions of me, I'm prepared to answer them, but while I am standing, if it's allowed, I will tell the Clerk the amendments that I'm to withdraw, for the sake of the record, and I'll just read them off. In reality, it will...I will withdraw them all, but they are, by number, FA362, FA363, FA364, FA365, FA366, FA367, FA368, FA369, FA370. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. They are withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, when you get time, next amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have is Senator Stuhr, AM1966. (Legislative Journal page 474.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, you're recognized to open on AM1966 to LB 72.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body, and I thank you for your past support in deliberating this issue. We do have a new amendment before us, and I thank Senator Brashear and also Senator Chambers for their concern in some of the areas. Actually, what the amendment does is just rearrange some of the language and I would say it's sort of smoothing language, rearranging some of the sections. The main concept remains the same. And I know that Senator Chambers expressed some concern about some of the sections, particularly the firearms section, possibly changing that from the Secretary of State to the State Patrol, and I'm certainly...be willing to work with him on that area. And also, I think we're having just the language...interpreting the language a little bit