we have a very weighty element here, a very demonstrated deficiency in the educational fund. I mean, after all, these employees will have to be trained on state protocols, state procedures, state rules and regulations in terms of the Supreme Court employee personnel book, and all facets of their job. And I was just wondering, and I'm curious to see if you're open to the possibility of evaluating that Supreme Court employee education fund. And Senator Beutler, I would give you the balance of my time.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, one minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Synowiecki, I think that's a fair question, and I think that you've identified an area where...that needs more funding. You and I have served together on the Appropriations Committee sometime now, and we're aware of many situations that probably could use additional funding. This bill does provide some more training money. It's probably...it's not going to be enough. I think your concern primarily is with some of the...is with improving the probation side of the court operation, and you've done great things so far in moving in that direction and putting money into that. And I'm sure it does need more training funds. And as I've indicated to you earlier, from our discussions on some other bills, I'm very willing to look at that and to be of assistance on that. And how this bill is funded, if people don't want to use fees,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...if they're...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. And thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Stuthman.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I really enjoy the efforts of a lot of the senators here this morning in getting involved in the discussion, but I think there's one thing that we really, realistically got to take a look at, is, you know, what has happened in the past with county offices, such as the assessor's office that was taken over by