

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2006 LB 529

this. So I just want to dispel that idea. And also, as Senator Janssen has pointed out, our revenue fluctuates. We're at the high level right now. So what do we do when we get to the low level, when it drops way down like it did a few years ago to .6 percent growth in that year? And that's what happened with the county assessor situation. So we're taking on another responsibility, but let's don't do it on the basis that, we have some money now; let's do it, because this is an ongoing thing. Another matter that I want to clarify is that I did say, Senator Beutler, and I was the one that said this, that this, by the year 2011, is an expenditure of \$13,500,000, approximately. And there are offsetting revenue matters that will dispel part of that, and that's where you get down to your \$6.5 million or \$7 million, whatever it is, that's going to be the net cost to the state ultimately. But the...we get that additional revenue by the court costs, which I think is an inappropriate way to use court costs, to say, okay, here's another program; let's just charge the filers more money to do this. So another matter that I'm concerned about is the general expression that this is going to save the counties money. Why are the county commissioners upset about this? Why are they concerned? Because in over a third of the counties, they don't see that they're going to save any money; they think they're going to lose money. So maybe it will benefit some of the bigger counties, but it isn't going to benefit the smaller counties. And so not all things are created equal. I said before, I think really, if we're going to do this effectively, we ought to have a longer-range program. When we've got 93 counties, we're never going to have an efficient system. We can call it efficient, but it isn't going to be efficient. Probably we need to be directing ourselves more towards consolidation of services. And it can be done now. We are beyond the point where we're a horseback ride from the county seat. We now have mail, we now have e-mails, we have all kinds of things that we can be doing by way of filing. But I would think a more appropriate way to be addressing this issue would be to change our constitution. If we don't need...we don't need 93 counties. We all know that. But we also don't need a clerk of the district court in each county. In many of those counties, they don't have all that many filings. They have like ten or five a year. So, but we still have a clerk of the district court. But what I think we really ought to be