

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2006 LB 529

mandates of the Supreme Court, that don't work in cooperation with what...and with the direction of the Supreme Court. So my question is this, and I want to have an extended discussion with Senator Beutler here in a moment, but is it the management of district court clerks that is our primary concern, or is it this idea of uniformity across the state--being state employees and working within the state system? I think it's the management, and for that reason I have introduced an amendment, it's AM2019, it should be on the computer, and will be opening on that in a little bit. But before we do that, I wanted to...I wanted to have it on your computer so you could look at it. Basically, what my amendment and the concept does is it allows the court to set up guidelines and rules and a certification process for district court clerks, just like a sheriff in any county. The sheriff is elected by the people of Madison County, or York County, but the sheriff has to maintain an active law enforcement certificate. Now, if the sheriff does something that violates the code or the oath that he or she takes as a law enforcement officer, there's a commission that will revoke that certificate and, subsequently, that individual that is a sheriff can no longer serve as a sheriff because he or she has violated the rules of, you know,...or, the oath that law enforcement officers take. In this case here, we essentially set up a system where district court clerks participate and complete a training course, and then there are rules that are adopted by the Supreme Court as to how the offices are governed. And if the district court clerk isn't following with the rules of the Supreme Court, like implementing e-filing or whatever the rule may be, the court can then make a motion to revoke the certificate of a district court clerk. And if the district court clerk fails to show cause as to why they violated the rule, or reason that they are acting outside of the rules, then the court can revoke that certificate and essentially the district court clerk no longer has the ability to serve in the role that he or she was elected to. This amendment, I think, draws a straight line between where we're at and where the court wants us to be. It also draws the line without taking on a bunch of new state employees. Now, Senator Beutler spent more than, you know, almost ten years on his bill, and it's hard for me to say that this amendment is in perfect shape when it's on your computer, because he certainly refined his bill a lot