

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2006 LB 529

to be responsible for an area and give them no way to exercise that responsibility, and that's what we've done. So I believe that if we don't do what Senator Beutler is proposing, then we should look at relieving the Supreme Court of any responsibility in this area. I would like to ask Senator Beutler a question.

PRESIDENT SHEEHY: Senator Beutler, would you yield?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Sure.

SENATOR BROWN: Senator Beutler, you referred in your remarks about the amendment to times when there are problems and that the Supreme Court is asked to step in. Can you talk about some specifics? What are the kinds of things that might be a problem that would occur under the current system?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, I think there are a variety of different kinds of problems. Some of them have to do with basic bookkeeping, keeping track of fees and balancing books. Others have to do with correct filings or not doing filings at all and letting them pile up.

SENATOR BROWN: What would be...

SENATOR BEUTLER: So...

SENATOR BROWN: ...what would be the liability to...either to the county or to the state for not doing these things? What is the impact on citizens of these things not happening?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, the impact on citizens could be quite acute, of course, if a critical filing or a critical document is lost or permanently misplaced. Exactly how that would work would depend a lot on the circumstances and who did what when and whether the situation was redeemable. But certainly it's the kind of thing you would not want to happen to any citizen.

SENATOR BROWN: In the instances that you referenced, and you referenced then them coming to the Supreme Court, to the Court Administrator, seeking help in remedying the situation. Have there been instances where the court has stepped in, and on