

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 26, 2006 LB 366

not be the right place for these questions, and I apologize if not. But, generally, what you're talking about here is a rate increase by...in the contributions by employees for the state and county, and because of that rate increase...contribution increase you're going to get an increase in the state contribution, because you multiply whatever they, the employee, contributes by 156 percent. And then you're also going to make the employees at those two levels eligible immediately upon hire, rather than waiting 12 months. Is that...

SENATOR STUHR: That's correct.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...the essence of...the fiscal note on this is about \$3 million? Is that correct?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, if you would look at the fiscal note, the General Fund is \$1,594,144. Now, it's my understanding that is divided by 71 agencies.

SENATOR RAIKES: So the division by 71 agencies results in what?

SENATOR STUHR: I actually have not divided that out, but...

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, I'm not asking you for the numerical answer,...

SENATOR STUHR: ...after talking to...

SENATOR RAIKES: ...but what you're suggesting is that rather than there being any more money appropriated to these agencies to cover this additional expense, that these agencies will be expected to cover this additional obligation out of what they're already appropriated. Is that what I heard you say?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, some of them already have set aside in their agencies to cover this amount, and this increase from 4.33 to 4.8 only applies to the state agencies.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Now, on your sheet, you also show county. And there's no change in the contribution rate for county employees then?