

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 25, 2006 LB 508

for myself, I've had enough discussion on water today. I'd withdraw the amendment and ask the Clerk to refile it on Select File.

SENATOR CUDABACK: So ordered.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I have nothing further on the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Discussion on the advancement of the bill itself? For discussion, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, I have a question of Senator Schrock, and it will be on page 2 of the bill, in line 6, where the word "initial" in front of the word "allocations" is being stricken. What...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...what was the purpose of the word...okay, let me say this. It would appear that by using the word "initial" allocations that...

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, where are we at? What...say again.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Page 2, line 6.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It would appear that to say "initial" allocations would mean that there would be subsequent allocations. If that is true, why would they strike "initial"? And if it's not true, why was "initial" put there? So my real question is, why is this word being stricken and what impact will it have?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, I'm going to assume that, being as it was a new program back in 1994, "initial" referred to the start-up of the program. Now that the program has been