

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2006 LB 875

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Uh-huh. Would that be unreasonable? Because as I read the rest of it, it means that these files are the joint property, and nothing mentions a condition that must come into being before they become joint property.

SENATOR MINES: You're exactly right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: As I read it, it means they are.

SENATOR MINES: You're exactly right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. You see how people who don't understand stuff will read it and maybe see something that others already understand. Page 11--and this may seem very "nit-pickyish"--the word I'm looking at is "prove" in line 22, but I'm going to read what precedes it, starting in line 18. "The insurer shall have on file an independent audit"...oh, I'll read it the way it would be now, with the amend...the changes proposed in the bill. "The insurer shall have on file an independent audited financial examination or reports for the two most recent fiscal years that prove that the managing general agent has a positive net worth." I think maybe "verify" or "confirm"...

SENATOR MINES: Confirm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...would be better than "prove," because this is not a matter of argument. There is a standard against which this person's worth is going to be measured, and either there...it will be confirmed or verified that it meets the standards, or not.

SENATOR MINES: Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So is there a reason...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...for "prove" rather than "verify"?

SENATOR MINES: There's a...well, you could define it as