

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2006 LB 779

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wherever they make the contact. They decide that they are going to go after this person and they contact that person.

SENATOR FLOOD: We still have the issues that have been outlined by the Supreme Court as far as when entrapment is illegal.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, the Supreme Court tells when you can execute somebody, but I'm agin that, so I'm not for it just because the Supreme Court says it. I know that would be the status of the law, I won't quarrel with that, but we can write a statute any way we choose.

SENATOR FLOOD: The interesting thing here is we're having a discussion about a law which in our United...if the person is under the age of 16, is a strict liability criminal law, one of the few strict liability criminal laws that we have, and regardless of whether or not a law enforcement officer or an actual 14-year-old is initiating that contact, if that defendant believes that person to be under the age of 16, whether they believe that person under the age of 16 or not, it is still wrong. So if you're interested in changing our strict liability laws with regard to sexual assault of a child, that's another issue, but I'm not interested in changing the face of what we do now. If you're having contact with what you know is a 14-year-old, you have no excuse whether the contact was initiated by the 14-year-old or you initiated the contact. I mean, there's absolutely no legal defense to that.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator, need a person be able, physically, to carry out the act that he is offering to commit with this purported child?

SENATOR FLOOD: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If a person is a quadriplegic and is totally impotent, and the offer is vaginal sex or rectal sex by way of a penis, and the person is incapable of performing the act, that person should nevertheless be held to the standard you're