

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2006 LB 72

SENATOR STUHR: Well, probably it is primarily persons and property, and "persons" refers to human, and "physical" refers to property. And...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then you wouldn't object to this amendment or think that it's designed to undermine what the aim of the bill is.

SENATOR STUHR: You know, Senator Chambers, I think at one time we had "persons and property," and we wanted it more...a little bit broader or something, and so we changed to "human and physical resources." We thought that sounded better.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you...

SENATOR STUHR: So what...yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you discussed it with somebody who thought maybe additional language should be there, or different language from "persons and property," what other things did they mention that would not be included in the terms "persons" and "property" that would be guarded?

SENATOR STUHR: Senator Chambers, as I had mentioned earlier, many of the definitions and terminology that we used we took from federal terms and model of legislation that was being discussed on the federal level. And we tried to liken as much of those definitions from the federal and use those in our bill, to make it more uniform.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator,...

SENATOR STUHR: And so I think that's probably why, you know, we ended up using that term.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. And I'm not going to do this by way of question and answer. I'll just make my assertions. I have read a lot of history. I've read a lot of material on a subject called political science, whatever that is, because politics is not a science, but nevertheless, such