

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2006 LB 72

this particular offering?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: May I say more than just yes or no?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You may say as much as you want to say.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator. You are entirely correct, and the reason is I don't think it adds anything to the language. If an applicant completes an application process, that's it. I mean, it's successfully done, and you can add the word "successful" in here; it's not going to hurt the bill, but I don't see the reason for it, Senator. That was my objection to it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If a person puts false information and submits that, it is your view, or is it, that nevertheless, the person has successfully completed that process, because if there were five answers to give, five answers were given, even if they were fraudulent? You feel the application process was successfully completed?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Correct. I mean, they did finish the process. That is the completion. And I kind of see what you're driving at, but what you're driving at is really handled in the rest of the paragraph, I feel, when you look through the criminal history check and the completion of an approved firearms training course. Those round out that whole process.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schimek, that is all I will ask you at this point,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and then I might come back. But, Senator Stuhr, I'd like to ask you a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you yield to a question from Senator Chambers?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, Senator Chambers.