

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2006 LB 72

So because it is not one of great consequence and I have plenty of others that will give me the opportunity to explore other areas, I'm not going to take all of the time on this particular amendment that I can. But when we talk about the word "issue," we know that vouchers...let me say warrants, because I'm thinking about pay now. Checks or warrants are issued by the state; they're not given by the state. They are issued. The word "issue" does have a precise meaning, and I'm not going to allow, if I can catch them, colloquialisms to enter into the statutes. That is not the place for it. I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr another question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, please. Would you yield to Senator Chambers, Senator Stuhr? Senator Stuhr, would you yield to a question of Senator Chambers?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And, Senator Stuhr, you don't need your amendment at this point for this question. Is it your intent that cops be allowed to receive a license to be a security guard without going through this training? All they have to do is show that they've had training which is the equivalent of whatever the Secretary of State requires, and they can be given that license. Is that what you intend?

SENATOR STUHR: I intend...if they show evidence of successfully completing...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUHR: ...all of the requirements that will be stated in the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So it could be somebody who's not a cop, somebody who's never been a security guard, but if they can do all of these things that are required, they must be given a license to be a security guard; is that true?

SENATOR STUHR: Well, I mean,...I don't know, Senator Chambers, if they must. There are lots of areas that they are going to